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## 1 Motivation

- Enormous amounts of „social data" available through, e.g., social networks
- Even coining of a new term „social data revolution" $\rightarrow$ see, for example, Wikipedia
- Possibility for asking new questions:
- Who is interacting with whom?
- Whom am I interacting with?
- Where „interacting" can be any kind of „social relation", e.g., owe money, hands over work, etc.
- Recall the three BI perspectives
- Customer
- Organization
- Production
$\square \rightarrow$ Social network analysis focuses on organizational perspective

1 Motivation

## Questions:

- Which data is suitable?
- How has the data to be prepared?
- What analysis model is typically used?
- Which analysis techniques are there?

Reading and basis for these slides:

- [Scott] John Scott: Social Network Analysis. SAGE (2012)
- [GrRi] Wilfried Grossmann, Stefanie Rinderle-Ma: Fundamentals of Business Intelligence, Springer 2015 (in press)
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## 2 Data perspective

- Checking data sources $\rightarrow$ what is there?
- Checking analysis model $\rightarrow$ where do we want to go?
- Checking analysis questions $\rightarrow$ what do we want to know?
- Small lookahead: the analysis model is a sociogram, i.e., a graph $G=$ (V, E) (can be directed or undirected)
a Nodes represent the entities in the social network, e.g., persons
- Edges represent the relation between these entities, e.g., isFriendOf

2 Data perspective


2 Data perspective

```
The data for example on
previous slide (in .net format)
*Network
*Vertices
1 "Simon"
2 "Maria"
3 "Frank"
*Arcs
1 2 1
2 3 1
* Edges
```

```
Difference?
*Network
*Vertices 3
1 "Simon"
2 "Maria"
3 "Frank"
*Arcs
2 3 1
*Edges
1 2 1
```



## 2 Data perspective

Derive the data set in .net format for the following sociogram:


## 2 Data perspective

Other formats:

- Adjacency matrix
- GraphML: xml-based, contains visualization information

```
<graphml> ...
```

<graph id="unnamed" edgedefault="directed">
            <node id="1">
                <data key="d0">Simon</data>
                <data key="d1">0.544782</data>
                <data key="d2">0.429213</data>
                <data key="d5">circle</data>
            </node>
            <edge id="e1" directed="true" source="1" target="2"/>
            <edge id="e2" directed="true" source="2" target="3"/>
            </graph>
</graphml>

## 2 Data perspective

Analysis questions:

- Who or what are identified as entities?
- What are the interesting relations to be analyzed?


## Basically:

- Analysis of the entire network
- Analysis for selected nodes (entities)

Job for data preperation:

- Make decisions on the questions above
- Prepare data accordingly
- If data is big, think about sampling


## 2 Data perspective

|  | Affiliations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

What are the entities (nodes) and relations (edges) for this example (taken from [Scott])?

## 2 Data perspective

According to [Scott] three different representation matrices for SNA exist:

| Incidence matrix |  | Cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Affiliations | A |  |  |  |
|  | B |  |  |  |
|  | C |  |  |  |
| Adjacency matrix ( $\rightarrow$ best for SNA) |  | Cases |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Cases | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Adjacency matrix |  | Affiliations |  |  |
|  |  | A | B | C |
| Affiliations | A |  |  |  |
|  | B |  |  |  |
|  | C |  |  |  |


| 2 Data perspective |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| According to [Scott] three different representation matrices for SNA exist: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Universities | A | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | B | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | C | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adjacency matrix |  | Students |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Students | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Adjacency matrix |  | Universities |  |  |
|  |  | A | B | C |
| Universities | A | - | 1 | 2 |
|  | B | 1 | - | 1 |
|  | C | 1 | 2 | - |
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## 3 Model perspective

- As mentioned before, the basic model is the sociogram
- Model structures for SNA (based on [GrRi])
- Undirected graphs: an undirected graph $G$ is defined as $G=(V ; E)$ with set of nodes V and set of undirected edges E .
- Directed graphs: Opposed to undirected edges, directed edges establish a relation that reflects a causal relation or a relation that is directed from one to another entity.
- Weighted Graphs: It can be also useful to assign weights to the edges in the graph, i.e., a weight $w(e)$ expressing some kind of quantitative measure for the relation.
- Connected Subgraphs: Special connected subgraphs might be of interest. A subgraph consisting of two nodes (with or without relations between them) describes a dyad, a sub-graph consisting of three nodes of interest a triad respectively.
- Dyad / triad: Two / three actors who are connected by a relation in the social network


## 3 Model perspective

How to build the model from the data?

1. Step: create data matrix (as described in Section 2)
2. Step: create models for different analysis tasks

## 3 Model perspective

Example 1: Building model from relational data

| Students | SID | Name | enrolled | SID | UID | University | UID | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S1 | Simon |  | S1 | U1 |  | U1 | Univie |
|  | S2 | Maria |  | S2 | U1 |  | U2 | TUWien |
|  | S3 | Frank |  | S1 | U2 |  | U3 | WUWien |
|  | S4 | Sally |  | S3 | U3 |  |  |  |
|  | S5 | Bert |  | S3 | U2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | S2 | U2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S1 | S2 |  |  | S4 | S5 |  |
|  | S1 | - | 2 | 1 |  | - | - |  |
| Cases | S2 | 2 | - | 1 |  | - | - |  |
|  | S3 | 1 |  | - |  | - | - |  |
|  | S4 | - | 1 | - |  | - | - |  |
|  | S5 | - | - | - |  | - | - | 19 |

## 3 Model perspective

Example 1: Building model from relational data


[^0]

## 3 Model perspective

## Example 2: Building model from log data (based on [GrRi])

<AuditTrailEntry>
<WorkflowModelElement>Evaluate presentation 1</WorkflowModelElement>...
<Originator>person001-lecturer</Originator>
</AuditTrailEntry>
<AuditTrailEntry>
<WorkflowModelElement>Evaluate presentation 1</WorkflowModelElement>...
<Originator>person003-lecturer</Originator>
</AuditTrailEntry>
<AuditTrailEntry>

Event Type and Time Stamp omitted
<WorkflowModelElement>plus</WorkflowModelElement>...
<Originator>person003-lecturer</Originator>
</AuditTrailEntry>
<AuditTrailEntry>
<WorkflowModeIElement>plus</WorkflowModeIElement>...
<Originator>person004-lecturer</Originator>
</AuditTrailEntry>.000+01:00</Timestamp>

## 3 Model perspective
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## 4 Analytical perspective

- Basically, different measures on the sociogram
- For the entire network
- For single nodes

O In addition: local and global measures

## 4 Analytical perspective

Local measures for nodes:

1. degree, in-degree, out-degree


## 4 Analytical perspective

Local measures for nodes:

1. degree, in-degree, out-degree

|  | Node | Indegree | Outdegree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |

## 4 Analytical perspective

Local measures for nodes:

1. Visualization: node sizes by out-degree


## 4 Analytical perspective

Is the degree meaningful?
$\rightarrow$ Degree centrality of node $\times$ (point centrality):

$$
\mathrm{DC}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{degree}(\mathrm{x}) /(\mathrm{N}-1)
$$

where N is the number of nodes in the sociogram
$\rightarrow$ Undirected: degree; directed: out-degree; weighted: sum of all weights of outgoing edges


| Node | DC |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |
| 2 |  |
| 3 |  |
| 4 |  |
| 5 |  |
| 6 |  |
| 7 |  |
| 8 |  |

## 4 Analytical perspective

Interpretation degree centrality:

- When is this a useful measure? In which situations probably not?
- Example taken from [Scott]:
- Degree centrality is a local (node) measure



## 4 Analytical perspective

To come to a global measure, take paths instead of edges:

$$
\text { k-path centrality of node } x=\sum_{n} \text { path }(x, n)
$$

where $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N} \backslash\{\mathrm{x}\}$ and path $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})$ denotes the shortest path from x to n


| (based on [Scott]) | A, C | B | G, M | J, K. L | others |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Local centrality (abs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local centrality (rel) |  |  |  |  |  |
| GLobal centrality |  |  |  |  |  |

4 Analytical perspective

| (based on [Scott]) | A, C | B | G, M | J, K. L | others |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Local centrality (abs) | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Local centrality (rel) | 0,33 | 0,33 | 0,13 | 0,07 | 0,07 |
| Global centrality | 43 | 33 | 37 | 48 | 57 |

- Which nodes are locally central?
- Which nodes are globally central?
- Interpretation:


## 4 Analytical perspective

- Another point centrality measure: betweenness centrality
- Betweenness centrality BC of a node $x$ :

$$
\mathrm{BC}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{i \neq j} p a t h(i, j, x) / p a t h(i, j)
$$

- Where path(i, j, x) denotes the shortest path from ito j through $x$.

- $B C(B)=3 / 3+4 / 4+2 / 2+3 / 3=4$
- $B C(G)=2 / 2+3 / 3+4 / 4=3$
- Interpretation: betweenness centrality estimates the role of an intermediary in a SNA, e.g., a broker


## 4 Analytical perspective

## Result Social Network Visualizer:

## BETWEENESS CENTRALITY (BC)

The BC index of a node $u$ is the sum of delta ( $s, t, u$ ) for all $s, t$ in $V$
where delta ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u}$ ) is the ratio of all geodesics between s and t which run
through u. Read the Manual for more.
$B C '$ is the standardized $B C$.
$B C$ range: $0<B C<12$ (Number of pairs of nodes excluding $u$ )
$B C^{\prime}$ range: $0<B C^{\prime}<1$ ( $C^{\prime}$ is 1 when the node falls on all geodesics)

| Node | BC | BC' | \%BC' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.25 | 25 |
| 3 | 4 | 0.333 | 33.3 |
| 4 | 3 | 0.25 | 25 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max $\mathrm{BC}^{\prime}=0.333$ (node 3) |  |  |  |
| BC classes = 3 |  | Normalization with factor number of all pairs: $(\mathrm{n}-1)^{*}(\mathrm{n}-2) / 2$ |  |
| $B C^{\prime}$ sum $=0.833$ |  |  |  |
| BC' Mean $=0.167$ |  |  |  |
| BC' Va | = 0. |  |  |

## 4 Analytical perspective

Graph metrics

- density $D$ of a graph / sociogram $G=(V, E)$ :


Interpretation?

## 4 Analytical perspective



## 4 Analytical perspective

Graph centrality:
Measures the centrality of the nodes in the graph in relation to the most central point
Let $\mathrm{x}^{*}$ be the node with the highest centrality in the SNA G. Then:

$$
\mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{G})=\frac{\sum_{n, n \neq x} C(x *)-C(n)}{(n-1) *(n-2)}
$$



Centrality?
Assuming degree centrality
$D C(A)=5$
$\mathrm{DC}(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{DC}(\mathrm{E})=\mathrm{DC}(\mathrm{F})=\mathrm{DC}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{DC}(\mathrm{H})=1$
$\mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{G})=5^{*} 4 / 5^{*} 4=1$

4 Analytical perspective
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5 Summary

- There are many more metrics to analyze SNA
- Closeness
- Cliques in the graph
- Tools:
- Pajek
- Social Network Visualizer
- R
- Organizational mining (see last semester):
- Lies at the interface between process mining and social network mining
- Hence at the interface between production and organization perspective


[^0]:    *Network
    *Vertices 5
    1 "Simon"
    2 "Maria"
    3 "Frank"
    4 "Sally"
    5 "Bert"
    *Edges
    122
    131

